

Joel E. Tasca, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14124
Andrew S. Clark, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14854
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Telephone: (702) 471-7000
Facsimile: (702) 471-7070
tasca@ballardspahr.com
clarkas@ballardspahr.com

*Attorneys for Defendant
Specialized Loan Servicing LLC*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

LUIS A. TOPETE, an individual;

Plaintiff,

v.

SPECIALIZED LOAN SERVICING INC.,
a Corporation, DOES I through X
inclusive;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00931-RFB-NJK

**ORDER TO
EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION
AND PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES
(FIRST REQUEST)**

Plaintiff Luis A. Topete and Defendant Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC (incorrectly named as Specialized Loan Servicing, Inc.) (“SLS”), stipulate and agree to extend the deadlines to file dispositive motions and pretrial motions by forty-five days under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. The current deadline to file dispositive motions is January 5, 2023—twenty-one days from the time of this request under LR 26-3.

I. Discovery Completed

1. The Court issued its initial scheduling order in this case on August 10, 2022 (ECF No. 13).
2. SLS served its initial disclosures on August 22, 2022.
3. SLS propounded its first set of discovery requests (requests for production of documents, interrogatories, and requests for admissions) on Plaintiff on November 3, 2022. SLS has granted Plaintiff an extension to respond to its discovery requests

1 until December 22, 2022.

2 4. SLS noticed Plaintiff's deposition on November 3, 2022. That deposition
3 was originally scheduled to take place December 19, 2022, but the parties have agreed
4 to postpone in light of scheduling conflicts between Plaintiff and counsel.

5 **II. Discovery Remaining**

6 1. SLS's deposition of Plaintiff.

7 2. Plaintiff's responses to SLS's written discovery requests.

8 **III. Reasons Why Discovery Cannot be Completed**

9 The parties have worked in good faith to schedule a date for Plaintiff's deposition
10 but have been unable to schedule a mutually available time. The parties inability to
11 schedule the deposition is not due to any party's neglect or desire to delay. Instead,
12 the schedules of counsel, coupled with the preexisting conflicts with Plaintiff's
13 schedule, have proven difficult to navigate. The parties have had productive
14 discussions regarding scheduling, and both sides are optimistic that Plaintiff's
15 deposition will move forward in the first half of January 2023. Given that timeline,
16 the parties believe that a forty-five-day extension of the dispositive-motion deadline
17 will provide sufficient time to receive and review the deposition transcript and prepare
18 their respective dispositive motions.

19
20
21
22
23 *[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]*
24
25
26
27
28

IV. Current Deadlines and Proposed New Deadlines

Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Dispositive Motion Deadline	January 5, 2023	February 20, 2023
Pretrial Disclosures / Order	February 6, 2023	March 23, 2023

Dated this 15th day of December, 2022.

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

/s/ Michael Harker

Michael J. Harker Esq.
2901 El Camino Avenue
Suite #200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Attorney for Plaintiff Luis Topete

/s/ Andrew S. Clark

Joel E. Tasca, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14124
Andrew S. Clark, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14854
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

*Attorneys for Specialized Loan Servicing,
LLC*

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.


United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: December 16, 2022